BEFORE THE ARIZONA MEDICAL BOARD

In the Matter of

ABDUL-SAMI SIDDIQUI, M.D.

Holder of License No. 34975 For the Practice of Allopathic Medicine In the State of Arizona Case No. MD-12-0805A

FINDINGS OF FACT, CONCLUSIONS OF LAW AND ORDER (Letter of Reprimand)

The Arizona Medical Board ("Board") considered this matter at its public meeting on February 6, 2013. Abdul-Sami F. Siddiqui M.D., ("Respondent") appeared with legal counsel, Jill Covington, Esq., before the Board for a formal interview pursuant to the authority vested in the Board by A.R.S. § 32-1451(H). The Board voted to issue Findings of Fact, Conclusions of Law and Order after due consideration of the facts and law applicable to this matter.

FINDINGS OF FACT

- 1. The Board is the duly constituted authority for the regulation and control of the practice of allopathic medicine in the State of Arizona.
- 2. Respondent is the holder of license number 34975 for the practice of allopathic medicine in the State of Arizona.
- 3. The Board initiated case number MD-12-0805A after receiving notification from Respondent that on June 8, 2012, he entered into a settlement, waiver and consent agreement with the Nevada Board of Medical Examiners ("Nevada Board") for inappropriately prescribing controlled substances to five different patients and failing to maintain proper documentation.
- 4. The Nevada Board initiated an investigation, and sent five of Respondent's patients' charts to an independent medical expert for review. At the conclusion of the investigation, the Nevada Board found that Respondent's prescribing practice for these

- patients was excessive and inconsistent with the appropriate standard of care. Specifically, Respondent prescribed significant controlled substances to the patients without sufficient medical justification for continued prescribing of controlled substances. In addition, Respondent considered alternative means of treating the patients' pain in very few instances and the medical records were lacking in adequate documentation to ascertain a diagnosis to justify Respondent's prescribing practices.
- 5. As a result of the Nevada Board's investigation, Respondent entered into a consent agreement with the Nevada Board on June 8, 2012, in which he received a public reprimand and was required to complete six CME hours in medical recordkeeping and reimburse the Board for the investigation expenses.
- 6. After considering presentations by Board Staff and Respondent's answers to their questions at the Formal Interview, a majority of Board members concluded that the Nevada Board Order was based on findings that would give rise to an order for discipline under Arizona law. In light of the Nevada Board's action, the Board voted to issue Respondent a Letter of Reprimand.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

- The Board possesses jurisdiction over the subject matter hereof and over Respondent.
- 2. The conduct and circumstances described above constitute unprofessional conduct pursuant to A.R.S. § 32-1401(27)(o) ("[a]ction that is taken against a doctor of medicine by another licensing or regulatory jurisdiction due to that doctor's mental or physical inability to engage safely in the practice of medicine, the doctor's medical incompetence or for unprofessional conduct as defined by that jurisdiction and that corresponds directly or indirectly to an act of unprofessional conduct prescribed by this paragraph. The action taken may include refusing, denying, revoking or suspending a

license by that jurisdiction or a surrendering of a license to that jurisdiction, otherwise limiting, restricting or monitoring a licensee by that jurisdiction or placing a licensee on probation by that jurisdiction.").

ORDER

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED THAT Respondent is issued a Letter of Reprimand.

RIGHT TO PETITION FOR REHEARING OR REVIEW

Respondent is hereby notified that he has the right to petition for a rehearing or review. The petition for rehearing or review must be filed with the Board's Executive Director within thirty (30) days after service of this Order. A.R.S. § 41-1092.09(B). The petition for rehearing or review must set forth legally sufficient reasons for granting a rehearing or review. A.A.C. R4-16-103. Service of this order is effective five (5) days after date of mailing. A.R.S. § 41-1092.09(C). If a petition for rehearing or review is not filed, the Board's Order becomes effective thirty-five (35) days after it is mailed to Respondent.

Respondent is further notified that the filing of a motion for rehearing or review is required to preserve any rights of appeal to the Superior Court.

DATED AND EFFECTIVE this 3 day of APRIL , 2013.

ARIZONA MEDICAL BOARD

By

Lisa S. Wynn Executive Director

1	EXECUTED COPY of the foregoing mailed this 3 rd day of <u>Opril</u> , 2013 to:
2	Jill Covington, Esq. Fennemore Craig PC
4	3003 N. Central Ave., Ste. 2600 Phoenix, AZ 85012
5	Abdul-Sami Siddiqui, M.D.
6	Address of Record
7	ORIGINAL of the foregoing filed this วี ^{รัก} day of <u>April</u> , 2013 with:
8	
9	Arizona Medical Board 9545 E. Doubletree Ranch Road
10	Scottsdale, AZ 85258
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	